

# **South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole & Pardon Services**



Annual Statistical Report  
Fiscal Year 2003



## Message from the Chief of Staff

---

I am pleased to present our Fiscal Year 2002-03 Annual Statistical Report. This Report provides a basic statistical representation of the work of more than 787 agents and employees of this Department. While there have been many challenges, I am pleased to report that more than sixty-seven out of every hundred offenders successfully complete supervision. The Bureau of Justice Statistics of the U.S. Department of Justice (2001) reported nationally that approximately 62% of 3.9 million offenders had successfully met the conditions of their supervision.

The Department continues to meet the public safety needs of the citizens of South Carolina as we attend to 50,196 offenders who were under the legal jurisdiction of the Department. The Department strives to hold these offenders accountable by insuring that these offenders meet their supervision obligations.

The following tables provide a description of the offender population and answer some of the more commonly asked questions regarding the Department's programmatic efforts. Each table is preceded by a short description of its contents.

For the reader who wishes additional information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact the Office of Research and Evaluation at 734-3503.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, which appears to read "Stephen G. Birnie". The signature is stylized with a large, sweeping "S" and a prominent "B".

Stephen G. Birnie  
Chief of Staff



## To the Reader

---

The reader should be aware, that there are different ways of reporting units of data depending upon the purpose. Admissions include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. Closures information reflects only the last order to close during the fiscal year. Actives represents only those offenders who were active on June 30, 2003.

At the end of FY 2002-03, there were 50,196 offenders who were under the legal jurisdiction of the Department. Legal jurisdiction includes offenders who have been transferred out of state, absconded with active warrants, and others who are not under the active day-to-day supervision of the Department. At the end of the fiscal year 33,205 offenders were under active supervision of the Department.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

### SECTION A---TOTAL POPULATION

TABLE 1-A	Total Admissions by Status .....	3
TABLE 2-A	Total Admissions by Type of Offense .....	4
TABLE 3-A	Total Admissions by Gender & Race .....	5
FIGURE 1	Total Admissions by Gender & Race .....	6
TABLE 4-A	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	7
FIGURE 2	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	8
TABLE 5-A	Total Closures by Type .....	9
TABLE 6-A	Rate per Thousand High Risk Male Population .....	10
TABLE 7-A	Rate per Thousand High Risk Female Population .....	11
TABLE 8-A	Offender Drug Testing .....	12
TABLE 9-A	Total Admissions by Age .....	13

### SECTION B---PROBATION

TABLE 1-B	Probation Admissions by Type of Offense .....	15
TABLE 2-B	Probation Admissions by Gender & Race .....	16
TABLE 3-B	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	17
FIGURE 3	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	18
TABLE 4-B	Probation Closures by Type .....	19
TABLE 5-B	Probation Admissions by Age .....	20

### SECTION C---PAROLE

TABLE 1-C	Parole Admissions by Type of Offense .....	22
TABLE 2-C	Parole Admissions by Gender & Race .....	23
TABLE 3-C	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	24
FIGURE 4	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	25
TABLE 4-C	Parole Closures by Type .....	26
TABLE 5-C	Parole Admissions by Age .....	27

### SECTION D---YOA

TABLE 1-D	YOA Admissions by Type of Offense .....	29
TABLE 2-D	YOA Admissions by Gender & Race .....	30
TABLE 3-D	Active YOA Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	31
FIGURE 5	Active YOA Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	32

TABLE 4-D	YOA Closures by Type .....	33
TABLE 5-D	YOA Admissions by Age .....	34

## **SECTION E---VIOLATIONS**

TABLE 1-E	Violations by County .....	36
TABLE 2-E	Closings by Type .....	37

## **SUMMARY**

CHART 1	Population Characteristics .....	39
CHART 2	Admissions to SCDPPPS .....	40
CHART 3	Violent Admission by Program .....	41

*Section A*  
*Total Population*



## Total Population

---

Tables 1-A through 9-A represent admissions to the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS) over FY 02-03. These tables count admissions to a particular sanction, and include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. These tables also included only the main case even though an offender may have been admitted with more than one case. In FY 02-03, there were a total of 19,513 admissions. A state and county total is provided for each category of admission. Within the racial categories, due to the small number of offenders classified as "other" they have been grouped with offenders classified as black.

Table 1-A provides information on total admissions by program status. The counties of Charleston, Greenville, Richland and Spartanburg contributed the largest number of total admissions, together accounting for 34% of all admissions.

Table 2-A presents information on total admissions by type of offense, violent versus non-violent. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act.<sup>1</sup> Total admissions during FY 02-03 were predominately non-violent with very few admissions (4%) for violent offenses.

Table 3-A and Figure 1 illustrates total admissions by gender and race. Admissions overall continue to be predominately male, 82%, with a racial composition of 59% black and 41% white.

Table 4-A and Figure 2 describe all active offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2003. This total does not include new and unclassified offenders, or indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. The level of supervision determines how often the offender is seen by the Agent. Among all offenders, high level supervision represented 53%, low level supervision represented 42%, followed by intensive supervision at 5%. Changes were made in the offender classification system in FY 03.

<sup>1</sup> Murder, Armed Robbery, Attempted Armed Robbery, Kidnapping, Carjacking, Criminal Sexual Conduct 1st and 2nd degree, Criminal Sexual Conduct with a Minor, Assault with Intent to Commit Criminal Sexual Conduct 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree, Engaging a Child for Sexual Performance, Assault and Battery with Intent to Kill, Voluntary Manslaughter, Drug Trafficking (44-53-370 e), Arson 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> degree, Burglary 1<sup>st</sup> degree, Burglary 2<sup>nd</sup> degree with Aggravating Circumstances, or Accessory Before the Fact to any of the above crimes.

Table 5-A shows total closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 02-03 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, is included. The overall success rate for all offenders closing during FY02-03 was 67%. The unsuccessful rate, 33%, is defined as those offenders revoked due to a technical violation or new offense and those instances when the offender was sentenced to prison on a new offense.

Table 6-A presents the relationship between the number of high risk males (ages 17-34) in a county and the number of those males admitted to community supervision. This table illustrates that statewide, for every one thousand males age 17 to 34, 18.5 were admitted to the supervision of the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. County rates range from a low of 8.8 to a high of 43.0. This number is limited to new admissions for the fiscal year and does not reflect the percentage of active offenders in this age category.

Table 7-A demonstrates the relationship between the number of high risk females (ages 17-34) in a county and the number of those females admitted to community supervision. This table illustrates that state wide, for every one thousand females age 17 to 34, 3.9 were admitted to the supervision of the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. County rates range from a low of 1.3 to a high of 6.7. This number is limited to new admissions for the fiscal year and does not reflect the percentage of active offenders in this age category.

Table 8-A shows drug testing activity during FY 02-03. This table represents the number of individual offenders tested, number of individuals testing positive, the number of times offenders were tested, and the total number of positive tests.

Table 9-A describes offender admissions by age category.

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY STATUS  
TABLE 1-A**

COUNTY	PROB. ADM.	PERCENT PROB.	PAROLE ADM.	PERCENT PAROLE	YOA ADM.	PERCENT YOA	TOTAL ADM.
ABBEVILLE	103	88%	9	8%	5	4%	117
AIKEN	445	85%	51	10%	29	6%	525
ALLENDALE	41	82%	2	4%	7	14%	50
ANDERSON	626	85%	67	9%	45	6%	738
BAMBERG	62	86%	2	3%	8	11%	72
BARNWELL	142	88%	6	4%	14	9%	162
BEAUFORT	334	87%	19	5%	32	8%	385
BERKELEY	427	83%	39	8%	51	10%	517
CALHOUN	57	89%	3	5%	4	6%	64
CHARLESTON	1,533	86%	93	5%	164	9%	1,790
CHEROKEE	221	79%	31	11%	27	10%	279
CHESTER	102	84%	11	9%	9	7%	122
CHESTERFIELD	138	80%	16	9%	19	11%	173
CLARENDON	124	82%	14	9%	13	9%	151
COLLETON	143	84%	9	5%	19	11%	171
DARLINGTON	191	77%	21	8%	36	15%	248
DILLON	113	78%	13	9%	18	13%	144
DORCHESTER	299	81%	26	7%	43	12%	368
EDGEFIELD	110	90%	4	3%	8	7%	122
FAIRFIELD	68	81%	9	11%	7	8%	84
FLORENCE	680	83%	78	10%	58	7%	816
GEORGETOWN	297	87%	19	6%	24	7%	340
GREENVILLE	1,471	84%	157	9%	115	7%	1,743
GREENWOOD	350	84%	28	7%	41	10%	419
HAMPTON	57	81%	6	9%	7	10%	70
HORRY	938	90%	61	6%	40	4%	1,039
JASPER	70	75%	7	8%	16	17%	93
KERSHAW	173	83%	9	4%	26	13%	208
LANCASTER	257	87%	18	6%	21	7%	296
LAURENS	335	85%	28	7%	33	8%	396
LEE	94	89%	5	5%	7	7%	106
LEXINGTON	767	92%	45	5%	23	3%	835
MCCORMICK	53	85%	9	15%	0	0%	62
MARION	168	77%	18	8%	31	14%	217
MARLBORO	144	84%	10	6%	18	10%	172
NEWBERRY	177	78%	16	7%	33	15%	226
OCONEE	232	84%	22	8%	23	8%	277
ORANGEBURG	464	83%	31	6%	63	11%	558
PICKENS	256	85%	28	9%	18	6%	302
RICHLAND	1,804	90%	124	6%	73	4%	2,001
SALUDA	91	83%	9	8%	10	9%	110
SPARTANBURG	916	85%	84	8%	84	8%	1,084
SUMTER	467	83%	53	9%	41	7%	561
UNION	158	87%	10	6%	13	7%	181
WILLIAMSBURG	141	83%	21	12%	8	5%	170
YORK	781	83%	80	9%	75	8%	936
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	13	100%	0	0%	0	0%	13
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>16,633</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>1,421</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>1,459</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>19,513</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE  
TABLE 2-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>OMNIBUS VIOLENT</b>	<b>PERCENT VIOLENT</b>	<b>NONVIOLENT</b>	<b>PERCENT NONVIOLENT</b>	<b>TOTAL ADM.</b>
ABBEVILLE	6	5%	111	95%	117
AIKEN	26	5%	499	95%	525
ALLENDALE	1	2%	49	98%	50
ANDERSON	39	5%	699	95%	738
BAMBERG	3	4%	69	96%	72
BARNWELL	7	4%	155	96%	162
BEAUFORT	13	3%	372	97%	385
BERKELEY	22	4%	495	96%	517
CALHOUN	2	3%	62	97%	64
CHARLESTON	63	4%	1,727	96%	1,790
CHEROKEE	19	7%	260	93%	279
CHESTER	4	3%	118	97%	122
CHESTERFIELD	7	4%	166	96%	173
CLARENDON	13	9%	138	91%	151
COLLETON	3	2%	168	98%	171
DARLINGTON	11	4%	237	96%	248
DILLON	6	4%	138	96%	144
DORCHESTER	14	4%	354	96%	368
EDGEFIELD	6	5%	116	95%	122
FAIRFIELD	3	4%	81	96%	84
FLORENCE	18	2%	798	98%	816
GEORGETOWN	10	3%	330	97%	340
GREENVILLE	81	5%	1,662	95%	1,743
GREENWOOD	14	3%	405	97%	419
HAMPTON	2	3%	68	97%	70
HORRY	26	3%	1,013	97%	1,039
JASPER	4	4%	89	96%	93
KERSHAW	11	5%	197	95%	208
LANCASTER	9	3%	287	97%	296
LAURENS	12	3%	384	97%	396
LEE	5	5%	101	95%	106
LEXINGTON	37	4%	798	96%	835
MCCORMICK	3	5%	59	95%	62
MARION	10	5%	207	95%	217
MARLBORO	10	6%	162	94%	172
NEWBERRY	7	3%	219	97%	226
OCONEE	7	3%	270	97%	277
ORANGEBURG	21	4%	537	96%	558
PICKENS	16	5%	286	95%	302
RICHLAND	88	4%	1,913	96%	2,001
SALUDA	2	2%	108	98%	110
SPARTANBURG	48	4%	1,036	96%	1,084
SUMTER	29	5%	532	95%	561
UNION	9	5%	172	95%	181
WILLIAMSBURG	13	8%	157	92%	170
YORK	43	5%	893	95%	936
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	0	0%	13	100%	13
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>803</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>18,710</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>19,513</b>

113 cases did not have any indication

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 3-A  
N=19,513**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PERCENT MALE</b>	<b>PERCENT FEMALE</b>	<b>PERCENT BLACK</b>	<b>PERCENT WHITE</b>
ABBEVILLE	84%	16%	65%	35%
AIKEN	82%	18%	49%	51%
ALLENDALE	88%	12%	90%	10%
ANDERSON	79%	21%	36%	64%
BAMBERG	76%	24%	92%	8%
BARNWELL	90%	10%	70%	30%
BEAUFORT	83%	17%	65%	35%
BERKELEY	84%	16%	49%	51%
CALHOUN	83%	17%	77%	23%
CHARLESTON	87%	13%	71%	29%
CHEROKEE	75%	25%	34%	66%
CHESTER	83%	17%	60%	40%
CHESTERFIELD	81%	19%	68%	32%
CLARENDON	88%	12%	83%	17%
COLLETON	88%	12%	68%	32%
DARLINGTON	83%	17%	65%	35%
DILLON	92%	8%	68%	32%
DORCHESTER	83%	17%	55%	45%
EDGEFIELD	85%	15%	63%	37%
FAIRFIELD	84%	16%	88%	12%
FLORENCE	81%	19%	70%	30%
GEORGETOWN	85%	15%	66%	34%
GREENVILLE	79%	21%	46%	54%
GREENWOOD	83%	17%	63%	37%
HAMPTON	91%	9%	64%	36%
HORRY	81%	19%	40%	60%
JASPER	89%	11%	75%	25%
KERSHAW	84%	16%	60%	40%
LANCASTER	86%	14%	49%	51%
LAURENS	80%	20%	52%	48%
LEE	82%	18%	83%	17%
LEXINGTON	81%	19%	40%	60%
MCCORMICK	90%	10%	80%	20%
MARION	83%	17%	78%	22%
MARLBORO	85%	15%	70%	30%
NEWBERRY	80%	20%	65%	35%
OCONEE	77%	23%	21%	79%
ORANGEBURG	83%	17%	84%	16%
PICKENS	85%	15%	21%	79%
RICHLAND	81%	19%	83%	17%
SALUDA	84%	16%	67%	33%
SPARTANBURG	81%	19%	48%	52%
SUMTER	87%	13%	75%	25%
UNION	79%	21%	45%	55%
WILLIAMSBURG	87%	13%	81%	19%
YORK	80%	20%	46%	54%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	92%	8%	54%	46%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>41%</b>


Percent may not equal 100 due to rounding.

Gender not indicated in 187 cases

Race not indicated in 190 cases

# TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY RACE AND GENDER

## FIGURE 1




**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF  
SUPERVISION, JUNE 30, 2003  
TABLE 4-A**

COUNTY	LOW	HIGH	INTENSIVE	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	39%	61%	0%	202
AIKEN	44%	52%	3%	1,113
ALLENDALE	50%	44%	6%	105
ANDERSON	46%	51%	3%	1,487
BAMBERG	17%	82%	2%	197
BARNWELL	28%	69%	4%	167
BEAUFORT	54%	44%	2%	534
BERKELEY	43%	53%	4%	902
CALHOUN	32%	61%	6%	111
CHARLESTON	34%	63%	4%	2,687
CHEROKEE	34%	58%	8%	604
CHESTER	46%	49%	5%	231
CHESTERFIELD	45%	47%	8%	221
CLARENDON	55%	40%	6%	252
COLLETON	46%	51%	3%	284
DARLINGTON	27%	62%	11%	455
DILLON	45%	51%	4%	256
DORCHESTER	47%	46%	6%	509
EDGEFIELD	28%	70%	3%	199
FAIRFIELD	23%	72%	5%	170
FLORENCE	32%	61%	7%	1,159
GEORGETOWN	55%	42%	3%	439
GREENVILLE	38%	58%	4%	3,393
GREENWOOD	30%	63%	7%	674
HAMPTON	56%	43%	1%	149
HORRY	46%	50%	4%	1,463
JASPER	47%	49%	3%	215
KERSHAW	39%	56%	6%	379
LANCASTER	28%	67%	5%	534
LAURENS	50%	47%	3%	707
LEE	38%	54%	8%	174
LEXINGTON	43%	54%	2%	1,605
MCCORMICK	33%	63%	4%	109
MARION	41%	46%	12%	229
MARLBORO	34%	57%	9%	219
NEWBERRY	55%	39%	6%	339
OCONEE	47%	52%	1%	491
ORANGEBURG	60%	36%	4%	914
PICKENS	42%	56%	2%	684
RICHLAND	47%	48%	5%	2,984
SALUDA	38%	57%	5%	228
SPARTANBURG	43%	51%	6%	2,190
SUMTER	48%	48%	4%	1,060
UNION	31%	63%	6%	375
WILLIAMSBURG	33%	61%	6%	300
YORK	45%	49%	5%	1,393
RESTITUTION CENTERS	0%	3%	97%	122
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	42%	53%	5%	
100 cases on administrative supervision				
<b>ACTIVE OFFENDERS</b>	<b>13,885</b>	<b>17,723</b>	<b>1,606</b>	<b>33,214</b>

**ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

**FIGURE 2**



**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
TOTAL CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 5-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL RATE</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL RATE</b>
ABBEVILLE	99	66%	50	34%
AIKEN	425	68%	196	32%
ALLENDALE	28	67%	14	33%
ANDERSON	453	63%	270	37%
BAMBERG	62	78%	18	23%
BARNWELL	89	70%	38	30%
BEAUFORT	306	74%	105	26%
BERKELEY	437	71%	175	29%
CALHOUN	32	63%	19	37%
CHARLESTON	1,293	70%	545	30%
CHEROKEE	210	78%	60	22%
CHESTER	142	66%	72	34%
CHESTERFIELD	92	55%	74	45%
CLARENDON	131	70%	56	30%
COLLETON	110	67%	54	33%
DARLINGTON	169	49%	178	51%
DILLON	89	65%	48	35%
DORCHESTER	171	55%	140	45%
EDGEFIELD	77	68%	36	32%
FAIRFIELD	90	72%	35	28%
FLORENCE	602	67%	296	33%
GEORGETOWN	189	71%	79	29%
GREENVILLE	1,183	67%	577	33%
GREENWOOD	255	58%	181	42%
HAMPTON	36	73%	13	27%
HORRY	754	72%	293	28%
JASPER	77	79%	20	21%
KERSHAW	176	63%	103	37%
LANCASTER	217	65%	116	35%
LAURENS	249	61%	159	39%
LEE	79	62%	48	38%
LEXINGTON	588	75%	197	25%
MCCORMICK	34	72%	13	28%
MARION	131	69%	59	31%
MARLBORO	112	58%	82	42%
NEWBERRY	130	69%	59	31%
OCONEE	206	74%	71	26%
ORANGEBURG	366	72%	141	28%
PICKENS	217	69%	97	31%
RICHLAND	973	56%	753	44%
SALUDA	56	71%	23	29%
SPARTANBURG	823	65%	438	35%
SUMTER	431	72%	169	28%
UNION	107	63%	62	37%
WILLIAMSBURG	103	67%	50	33%
YORK	589	66%	304	34%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	2	100%	0	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>13,190</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>6,586</b>	<b>33%</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
RATE PER 1,000 HIGH RISK  
MALE (ADMISSIONS) POPULATION  
TABLE 6-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>MALE POPULATION AGE 17-34*</b>	<b>SCDPPPS MALES AGE 17-34</b>	<b>RATE PER 1,000 AGE 17-34 OFFENDERS</b>	<b>RANK ORDER RATE PER 1,000 OFFENDERS</b>
ABBEVILLE	2,915	64	22.0	20
AIKEN	15,928	256	16.1	41
ALLENDALE	1,603	33	20.6	26
ANDERSON	18,993	380	20.0	28
BAMBERG	2,087	36	17.2	39
BARNWELL	2,602	112	43.0	1
BEAUFORT	18,413	220	11.9	45
BERKELEY	21,888	285	13.0	44
CALHOUN	1,488	37	24.9	12
CHARLESTON	43,941	1,066	24.3	14
CHEROKEE	6,483	127	19.6	29
CHESTER	3,832	74	19.3	32
CHESTERFIELD	5,029	95	18.9	33
CLARENDON	4,189	78	18.6	35
COLLETON	4,050	93	23.0	17
DARLINGTON	7,570	155	20.5	27
DILLON	3,488	99	28.4	7
DORCHESTER	11,113	206	18.5	36
EDGEFIELD	3,843	59	15.4	43
FAIRFIELD	2,565	50	19.5	30
FLORENCE	14,939	450	30.1	6
GEORGETOWN	5,644	194	34.4	2
GREENVILLE	49,263	856	17.4	37
GREENWOOD	8,089	245	30.3	5
HAMPTON	2,901	47	16.2	40
HORRY	24,916	564	22.6	19
JASPER	3,179	67	21.1	25
KERSHAW	5,560	108	19.4	31
LANCASTER	7,921	172	21.7	22
LAURENS	8,449	208	24.6	13
LEE	2,758	60	21.8	21
LEXINGTON	25,618	407	15.9	42
MCCORMICK	1,404	33	23.5	15
MARION	4,035	133	33.0	3
MARLBORO	3,769	103	27.3	9
NEWBERRY	4,378	119	27.2	10
OCONEE	7,401	138	18.6	34
ORANGEBURG	10,724	303	28.3	8
PICKENS	18,560	163	8.8	46
RICHLAND	49,109	1,036	21.1	24
SALUDA	2,385	51	21.4	23
SPARTANBURG	31,437	544	17.3	38
SUMTER	13,540	308	22.7	18
UNION	3,254	99	30.4	4
WILLIAMSBURG	3,986	93	23.3	16
YORK	19,844	516	26.0	11
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>515,083</b>	<b>9,552</b>	<b>18.5</b>	

\*DATA FURNISHED BY S.C. STATE DATA CENTER

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
RATE PER 1,000 HIGH RISK  
FEMALE (ADMISSIONS) POPULATION  
TABLE 7-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>FEMALE POPULATION AGE 17-34*</b>	<b>SCDPPPS FEMALES AGE 17-34</b>	<b>RATE PER 1,000 AGE 17-34 OFFENDERS</b>	<b>RANK ORDER RATE PER 1,000 OFFENDERS</b>
ABBEVILLE	3,176	12	3.8	21
AIKEN	17,023	59	3.5	28
ALLENDALE	1,184	4	3.4	30
ANDERSON	19,519	98	5.0	9
BAMBERG	2,270	13	5.7	6
BARNWELL	2,747	10	3.6	24
BEAUFORT	13,976	36	2.6	42
BERKELEY	18,012	49	2.7	41
CALHOUN	1,666	6	3.6	25
CHARLESTON	43,604	126	2.9	40
CHEROKEE	6,665	39	5.9	5
CHESTER	4,079	15	3.7	22
CHESTERFIELD	5,096	21	4.1	16
CLARENDON	3,393	11	3.2	33
COLLETON	4,309	8	1.9	43
DARLINGTON	8,302	26	3.1	35
DILLON	3,802	11	2.9	39
DORCHESTER	11,378	39	3.4	29
EDGEFIELD	2,582	9	3.5	27
FAIRFIELD	2,749	4	1.5	45
FLORENCE	16,331	107	6.6	2
GEORGETOWN	5,966	28	4.7	11
GREENVILLE	49,074	191	3.9	18
GREENWOOD	8,937	39	4.4	15
HAMPTON	2,305	3	1.3	46
HORRY	23,882	109	4.6	13
JASPER	2,337	7	3.0	38
KERSHAW	5,815	19	3.3	32
LANCASTER	7,138	22	3.1	36
LAURENS	8,401	51	6.1	4
LEE	2,245	9	4.0	17
LEXINGTON	26,429	87	3.3	31
MCCORMICK	840	3	3.6	26
MARION	4,490	23	5.1	8
MARLBORO	3,375	13	3.9	19
NEWBERRY	4,353	27	6.2	3
OCONEE	7,204	37	5.1	7
ORANGEBURG	12,373	55	4.4	14
PICKENS	16,862	27	1.6	44
RICHLAND	49,742	231	4.6	12
SALUDA	2,174	7	3.2	34
SPARTANBURG	31,676	122	3.9	20
SUMTER	13,402	41	3.1	37
UNION	3,424	23	6.7	1
WILLIAMSBURG	4,368	16	3.7	23
YORK	21,679	104	4.8	10
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>510,354</b>	<b>1,997</b>	<b>3.9</b>	

**\*DATA FURNISHED BY S.C. STATE DATA CENTER**

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
OFFENDER DRUG TESTING  
TABLE 8-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED</b>	<b>INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE</b>	<b>TOTAL NO. POSITIVE TESTS</b>	<b>NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS WERE TESTED</b>
ABBEVILLE	23	11	47.83%	16	37
AIKEN	555	120	21.62%	188	774
ALLENDALE	25	10	40.00%	11	29
ANDERSON	461	202	43.82%	338	727
BAMBERG	109	17	15.60%	21	156
BARNWELL	163	39	23.93%	58	262
BEAUFORT*	282	83	29.43%	107	384
BERKELEY*	447	125	27.96%	198	601
CALHOUN	74	24	32.43%	40	131
CHARLESTON	875	450	51.43%	773	1273
CHEROKEE	228	63	27.63%	93	348
CHESTER	104	47	45.19%	77	160
CHESTERFIELD	129	54	41.86%	88	257
CLARENDON	252	53	21.03%	72	401
COLLETON	107	63	58.88%	105	176
DARLINGTON	391	126	32.23%	187	645
DILLON	53	17	32.08%	19	58
DORCHESTER*	244	80	32.79%	121	366
EDGEFIELD	43	12	27.91%	14	49
FAIRFIELD	157	49	31.21%	56	212
FLORENCE*	602	225	37.38%	334	812
GEORGETOWN	177	45	25.42%	67	235
GREENVILLE*	1,823	609	33.41%	1107	3340
GREENWOOD	339	132	38.94%	188	487
HAMPTON	49	19	38.78%	24	63
HORRY	1,339	326	24.35%	540	2278
JASPER	41	13	31.71%	15	85
KERSHAW	283	117	41.34%	199	433
LANCASTER	279	116	41.58%	179	394
LAURENS	249	86	34.54%	165	339
LEE	24	12	50.00%	24	37
LEXINGTON	673	227	33.73%	316	848
MCCORMICK	43	9	20.93%	12	53
MARION	111	48	43.24%	64	169
MARLBORO	91	48	52.75%	78	142
NEWBERRY	152	64	42.11%	100	252
OCONEE	124	52	41.94%	87	145
ORANGEBURG	718	210	29.25%	288	920
PICKENS	119	48	40.34%	79	136
RICHLAND	2,925	1018	34.80%	1634	5115
SALUDA	62	17	27.42%	20	75
SPARTANBURG	985	302	30.66%	494	1441
SUMTER	287	101	35.19%	141	360
UNION	65	27	41.54%	54	85
WILLIAMSBURG	74	36	48.65%	55	92
YORK*	1,322	446	33.74%	702	2260
SPARTANBURG REST. CTR.	188	53	28.19%	68	396
CHARLESTON REST. CTR	261	110	42.15%	188	450
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>18,127</b>	<b>6,161</b>	<b>33.99%</b>	<b>9,804</b>	<b>28,488</b>

\* Includes Satellite Office

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE\*  
TABLE 9-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	30	26%	86	74%
AIKEN	169	33%	341	67%
ALLENDALE	25	50%	25	50%
ANDERSON	226	31%	509	69%
BAMBERG	30	42%	42	58%
BARNWELL	64	42%	89	58%
BEAUFORT	148	39%	235	61%
BERKELEY	210	41%	302	59%
CALHOUN	27	42%	37	58%
CHARLESTON	705	40%	1,072	60%
CHEROKEE	82	30%	195	70%
CHESTER	53	44%	68	56%
CHESTERFIELD	60	35%	112	65%
CLARENDON	60	40%	91	60%
COLLETON	61	37%	103	63%
DARLINGTON	102	41%	146	59%
DILLON	67	47%	77	53%
DORCHESTER	152	42%	214	58%
EDGEFIELD	43	37%	73	63%
FAIRFIELD	28	34%	54	66%
FLORENCE	318	39%	496	61%
GEORGETOWN	125	37%	215	63%
GREENVILLE	509	29%	1,228	71%
GREENWOOD	156	39%	249	61%
HAMPTON	25	36%	45	64%
HORRY	354	34%	676	66%
JASPER	44	47%	49	53%
KERSHAW	83	42%	117	59%
LANCASTER	114	39%	182	61%
LAURENS	158	40%	234	60%
LEE	38	36%	68	64%
LEXINGTON	233	28%	598	72%
MCCORMICK	19	31%	42	69%
MARION	101	48%	110	52%
MARLBORO	65	38%	106	62%
NEWBERRY	79	35%	146	65%
OCONEE	99	36%	175	64%
ORANGEBURG	224	41%	329	59%
PICKENS	113	37%	189	63%
RICHLAND	669	34%	1,320	66%
SALUDA	33	30%	77	70%
SPARTANBURG	348	33%	719	67%
SUMTER	210	39%	328	61%
UNION	70	39%	111	61%
WILLIAMSBURG	58	34%	112	66%
YORK	335	36%	590	64%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	1	8%	12	92%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>6,923</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>12,394</b>	<b>64%</b>

\*196 offenders missing DOB.

*Section B*  
*Probation*



## Probation

---

**PROBATION:** The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders placed on probation by the Court. Probation is a court-ordered community punishment which suspends the imposition of all or part of the original sentence of incarceration. It requires the offender, under DPPPS supervision in the community, to adhere to a set of conditions which limit the offender's freedom, reparation to victims if so ordered, and to provide for judicial revocation for violation of those conditions.

Tables 1-B and 2-B represent all probation admissions during FY02-03. Probation includes regular probation, intensive probation and offenders admitted to probation supervision from a split sentence from prison.

Table 1-B breaks down probation admissions in terms of offense type, violent versus non-violent. For FY 02-03 statewide 2% of all probation admissions were for violent offenses.

Table 2-B provides information on probation admissions by gender and race. Probation admissions were predominately male, 80%, with a racial composition of 57% black and 43% white.

Table 3-B and Figure 3 describe the active probation offender population in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2003. This table does not include new and unclassified offenders or indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Among probationers, high level supervision represented 55%, low level supervision represented 42%, followed by intensive supervision at 2%. Changes were made in the offender classification system in FY 03.

Table 4-B provides data for probation closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). The overall success rate for probationers (68%) was slightly above the success rate for the total offender population (67%).

Table 5-B reflects probation admissions by age category.

**S.C DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE  
TABLE 1-B**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	2	2%	94	98%	96
AIKEN	12	3%	419	97%	431
ALLENDALE	1	2%	40	98%	41
ANDERSON	15	2%	602	98%	617
BAMBERG	1	2%	60	98%	61
BARNWELL	2	1%	132	99%	134
BEAUFORT	5	2%	322	98%	327
BERKELEY	4	1%	415	99%	419
CALHOUN	1	2%	55	98%	56
CHARLESTON	24	2%	1,480	98%	1,504
CHEROKEE	13	6%	205	94%	218
CHESTER	0	0%	101	100%	101
CHESTERFIELD	4	3%	132	97%	136
CLARENDON	6	5%	116	95%	122
COLLETON	2	1%	135	99%	137
DARLINGTON	4	2%	181	98%	185
DILLON	2	2%	110	98%	112
DORCHESTER	6	2%	289	98%	295
EDGEFIELD	3	3%	103	97%	106
FAIRFIELD	1	2%	65	98%	66
FLORENCE	6	1%	666	99%	672
GEORGETOWN	3	1%	288	99%	291
GREENVILLE	29	2%	1,421	98%	1,450
GREENWOOD	2	1%	322	99%	324
HAMPTON	1	2%	54	98%	55
HORRY	9	1%	916	99%	925
JASPER	2	3%	67	97%	69
KERSHAW	8	5%	163	95%	171
LANCASTER	2	1%	252	99%	254
LAURENS	7	2%	320	98%	327
LEE	2	2%	91	98%	93
LEXINGTON	19	3%	737	97%	756
MCCORMICK	1	2%	51	98%	52
MARION	5	3%	156	97%	161
MARLBORO	4	3%	135	97%	139
NEWBERRY	2	1%	169	99%	171
OCONEE	2	1%	223	99%	225
ORANGEBURG	6	1%	447	99%	453
PICKENS	3	1%	241	99%	244
RICHLAND	36	2%	1,726	98%	1,762
SALUDA	1	1%	88	99%	89
SPARTANBURG	18	2%	870	98%	888
SUMTER	10	2%	436	98%	446
UNION	3	2%	149	98%	152
WILLIAMSBURG	5	4%	135	96%	140
YORK	19	3%	736	97%	755
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	0	0%	13	100%	13
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>313</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>15,928</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>16,241</b>

86 cases not classified

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 2-B  
N=19,326**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	80%	20%	65%	35%
AIKEN	80%	20%	48%	52%
ALLENDALE	85%	15%	88%	12%
ANDERSON	77%	23%	32%	68%
BAMBERG	74%	26%	90%	10%
BARNWELL	89%	11%	69%	31%
BEAUFORT	80%	20%	62%	38%
BERKELEY	82%	18%	47%	53%
CALHOUN	80%	20%	75%	25%
CHARLESTON	85%	15%	68%	32%
CHEROKEE	70%	30%	35%	65%
CHESTER	79%	21%	58%	42%
CHESTERFIELD	77%	23%	67%	33%
CLARENDON	86%	14%	82%	18%
COLLETON	87%	13%	65%	35%
DARLINGTON	80%	20%	64%	36%
DILLON	90%	10%	69%	31%
DORCHESTER	80%	20%	52%	48%
EDGEFIELD	85%	15%	64%	36%
FAIRFIELD	84%	16%	86%	14%
FLORENCE	79%	21%	67%	33%
GEORGETOWN	82%	18%	64%	36%
GREENVILLE	78%	22%	44%	56%
GREENWOOD	80%	20%	59%	41%
HAMPTON	89%	11%	62%	38%
HORRY	80%	20%	39%	61%
JASPER	88%	12%	74%	26%
KERSHAW	83%	17%	58%	42%
LANCASTER	85%	15%	49%	51%
LAURENS	77%	23%	49%	51%
LEE	80%	20%	81%	19%
LEXINGTON	80%	20%	39%	61%
MCCORMICK	90%	10%	80%	20%
MARION	81%	19%	76%	24%
MARLBORO	83%	17%	68%	32%
NEWBERRY	79%	21%	63%	37%
OCONEE	73%	27%	20%	80%
ORANGEBURG	80%	20%	82%	18%
PICKENS	84%	16%	18%	82%
RICHLAND	80%	20%	83%	18%
SALUDA	83%	17%	63%	37%
SPARTANBURG	79%	21%	45%	55%
SUMTER	86%	14%	73%	27%
UNION	75%	25%	43%	57%
WILLIAMSBURG	86%	14%	79%	21%
YORK	78%	22%	43%	57%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	92%	8%	54%	46%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	80%	20%	57%	43%


Percent may not equal 100 due to rounding.

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL  
OF SUPERVISION, JUNE 30, 2003  
TABLE 3-B**

COUNTY	LOW	HIGH	INTENSIVE	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	83%	17%	0%	617
AIKEN	11%	87%	3%	589
ALLENDALE	91%	9%	0%	455
ANDERSON	7%	91%	2%	737
BAMBERG	81%	19%	0%	725
BARNWELL	19%	80%	1%	130
BEAUFORT	14%	85%	1%	236
BERKELEY	37%	62%	2%	664
CALHOUN	83%	17%	1%	379
CHARLESTON	2%	96%	2%	1,520
CHEROKEE	69%	29%	2%	1,058
CHESTER	63%	36%	1%	291
CHESTERFIELD	49%	49%	2%	185
CLARENDON	47%	48%	5%	170
COLLETON	46%	53%	1%	241
DARLINGTON	28%	63%	9%	369
DILLON	47%	51%	2%	200
DORCHESTER	32%	66%	2%	281
EDGEFIELD	60%	39%	1%	319
FAIRFIELD	32%	66%	2%	154
FLORENCE	5%	90%	5%	636
GEORGETOWN	65%	34%	1%	496
GREENVILLE	10%	88%	2%	1,962
GREENWOOD	74%	23%	2%	1,448
HAMPTON	76%	24%	0%	220
HORRY	9%	87%	4%	759
JASPER	86%	13%	0%	678
KERSHAW	28%	70%	2%	261
LANCASTER	28%	71%	1%	459
LAURENS	33%	67%	1%	425
LEE	76%	23%	1%	386
LEXINGTON	5%	93%	2%	858
MCCORMICK	90%	9%	0%	689
MARION	24%	67%	9%	121
MARLBORO	40%	57%	3%	181
NEWBERRY	37%	60%	2%	167
OCONEE	41%	58%	1%	367
ORANGEBURG	43%	55%	2%	436
PICKENS	57%	42%	0%	770
RICHLAND	15%	80%	5%	1,561
SALUDA	92%	8%	0%	1,305
SPARTANBURG	7%	88%	6%	1,135
SUMTER	64%	35%	1%	1,276
UNION	67%	32%	1%	639
WILLIAMSBURG	38%	58%	4%	256
YORK	11%	85%	3%	701
RESTITUTION CENTERS	0%	3%	97%	115
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>2%</b>	
26 cases on administrative supervision				
<b>ACTIVE PROBATIONERS</b>	<b>11,625</b>	<b>15,313</b>	<b>689</b>	<b>27,627</b>

**ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS  
BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

**FIGURE 3**



**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PROBATION CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 4-B**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL RATE</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL RATE</b>
ABBEVILLE	83	66%	43	34%
AIKEN	379	68%	176	32%
ALLENDALE	25	64%	14	36%
ANDERSON	369	64%	207	36%
BAMBERG	53	80%	13	20%
BARNWELL	74	72%	29	28%
BEAUFORT	272	76%	87	24%
BERKELEY	395	75%	132	25%
CALHOUN	28	64%	16	36%
CHARLESTON	1,149	74%	409	26%
CHEROKEE	176	81%	41	19%
CHESTER	127	68%	59	32%
CHESTERFIELD	67	53%	60	47%
CLARENDON	117	73%	44	27%
COLLETON	87	65%	46	35%
DARLINGTON	123	47%	140	53%
DILLON	63	65%	34	35%
DORCHESTER	150	58%	107	42%
EDGEFIELD	67	68%	32	32%
FAIRFIELD	75	76%	24	24%
FLORENCE	525	69%	238	31%
GEORGETOWN	163	71%	67	29%
GREENVILLE	991	68%	477	32%
GREENWOOD	223	59%	153	41%
HAMPTON	27	77%	8	23%
HORRY	660	73%	245	27%
JASPER	66	84%	13	16%
KERSHAW	163	67%	82	33%
LANCASTER	197	69%	88	31%
LAURENS	198	59%	138	41%
LEE	65	61%	41	39%
LEXINGTON	528	75%	173	25%
MCCORMICK	28	72%	11	28%
MARION	106	75%	36	25%
MARLBORO	96	59%	67	41%
NEWBERRY	100	69%	44	31%
OCONEE	179	75%	60	25%
ORANGEBURG	311	75%	101	25%
PICKENS	181	70%	78	30%
RICHLAND	842	56%	661	44%
SALUDA	50	76%	16	24%
SPARTANBURG	714	67%	350	33%
SUMTER	357	71%	147	29%
UNION	92	65%	49	35%
WILLIAMSBURG	86	68%	41	32%
YORK	491	67%	240	33%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	2	100%	0	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>11,320</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>5,337</b>	<b>32%</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY AGE\*  
TABLE 5-B**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	21	22%	74	78%
AIKEN	137	32%	292	68%
ALLENDALE	18	44%	23	56%
ANDERSON	185	30%	430	70%
BAMBERG	23	38%	38	62%
BARNWELL	49	37%	83	63%
BEAUFORT	119	37%	206	63%
BERKELEY	163	39%	253	61%
CALHOUN	25	45%	31	55%
CHARLESTON	545	36%	953	64%
CHEROKEE	54	25%	164	75%
CHESTER	42	42%	58	58%
CHESTERFIELD	40	30%	95	70%
CLARENDON	40	33%	82	67%
COLLETON	44	32%	92	68%
DARLINGTON	68	37%	117	63%
DILLON	48	43%	64	57%
DORCHESTER	109	37%	185	63%
EDGEFIELD	35	33%	70	67%
FAIRFIELD	23	36%	41	64%
FLORENCE	243	36%	427	64%
GEORGETOWN	101	35%	190	65%
GREENVILLE	397	27%	1,048	73%
GREENWOOD	107	33%	215	67%
HAMPTON	18	33%	37	67%
HORRY	314	34%	606	66%
JASPER	26	38%	43	62%
KERSHAW	61	37%	106	63%
LANCASTER	90	35%	164	65%
LAURENS	119	37%	205	63%
LEE	31	33%	62	67%
LEXINGTON	200	27%	553	73%
MCCORMICK	18	35%	33	65%
MARION	66	41%	95	59%
MARLBORO	46	33%	92	67%
NEWBERRY	45	26%	125	74%
OCONEE	73	33%	150	67%
ORANGEBURG	167	37%	285	63%
PICKENS	94	39%	150	61%
RICHLAND	589	33%	1,171	67%
SALUDA	19	21%	70	79%
SPARTANBURG	270	31%	612	69%
SUMTER	174	39%	271	61%
UNION	56	37%	96	63%
WILLIAMSBURG	47	34%	93	66%
YORK	261	35%	494	65%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	1	8%	12	92%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>5,421</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>10,756</b>	<b>66%</b>

\*150 offenders missing DOB.

*Section C*  
*Parole*



## Parole

---

**PAROLE:** The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders paroled by the Board of Paroles and Pardons. Parole is the conditional release of an individual from imprisonment, but not from the legal custody of the state, to complete his/her sentence outside a correctional institution under conditions and provisions of supervision determined by the Board. Should an individual be granted parole, he/she must agree to abide by certain conditions of community supervision. The violation of any of these conditions is sufficient grounds for revocation of parole by the Board, and the imposition of the remainder of the original sentence of incarceration.

Tables 1-C and 2-C pertain to data on all parole case admissions for FY 02-03. Parole includes regular parole, intensive parole and provisional parole. Two hundred eighty seven (287) community supervision admissions and 232 early release offenders were also included in parole for FY 02-03.

Table 1-C shows parole admissions by type of offense. A larger percent of parole admissions, 26%, fall into the violent category, as compared to 2% for probation admissions and 2% for YOA admissions.

Table 2-C describes all parole admissions by gender and race. Parole admissions consisted primarily of males, 89%, with a racial composition of 68% black and 32% white.

Table 3-C and Figure 4 describe active parolees by level of supervision on June 30, 2003. This figure does not include new and unclassified offenders. Among parolees, low level supervision offenders represented 52% of the parolee population, followed by high level at 37%. Intensive supervision accounted for 11% of the parolee population.

Table 4-C presents parole case closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). The overall success rate for parolees (75%) was somewhat higher than that of probationers (68%).

Table 5-C describes the parole population by age category.

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE  
TABLE 1-C**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	4	25%	12	75%	16
AIKEN	12	22%	42	78%	54
ALLENDALE	0	0%	2	100%	2
ANDERSON	22	29%	53	71%	75
BAMBERG	2	67%	1	33%	3
BARNWELL	5	63%	3	38%	8
BEAUFORT	7	28%	18	72%	25
BERKELEY	18	40%	27	60%	45
CALHOUN	1	25%	3	75%	4
CHARLESTON	39	34%	76	66%	115
CHEROKEE	6	18%	28	82%	34
CHESTER	4	33%	8	67%	12
CHESTERFIELD	3	17%	15	83%	18
CLARENDON	6	38%	10	63%	16
COLLETON	1	9%	10	91%	11
DARLINGTON	6	22%	21	78%	27
DILLON	4	29%	10	71%	14
DORCHESTER	7	23%	23	77%	30
EDGEFIELD	3	60%	2	40%	5
FAIRFIELD	2	22%	7	78%	9
FLORENCE	9	11%	73	89%	82
GEORGETOWN	5	20%	20	80%	25
GREENVILLE	49	28%	128	72%	177
GREENWOOD	12	29%	30	71%	42
HAMPTON	1	13%	7	88%	8
HORRY	15	21%	57	79%	72
JASPER	2	25%	6	75%	8
KERSHAW	2	20%	8	80%	10
LANCASTER	5	25%	15	75%	20
LAURENS	5	14%	31	86%	36
LEE	3	50%	3	50%	6
LEXINGTON	17	31%	38	69%	55
MCCORMICK	2	20%	8	80%	10
MARION	5	25%	15	75%	20
MARLBORO	4	29%	10	71%	14
NEWBERRY	5	23%	17	77%	22
OCONEE	3	11%	24	89%	27
ORANGEBURG	12	30%	28	70%	40
PICKENS	10	27%	27	73%	37
RICHLAND	48	31%	106	69%	154
SALUDA	1	9%	10	91%	11
SPARTANBURG	26	25%	76	75%	102
SUMTER	16	25%	47	75%	63
UNION	6	38%	10	63%	16
WILLIAMSBURG	8	36%	14	64%	22
YORK	23	24%	73	76%	96
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	0	0%	0	0%	0
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>1,252</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>1,698</b>

11 cases missing classification

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 2-C  
N=1,690**


<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PERCENT MALE</b>	<b>PERCENT FEMALE</b>	<b>PERCENT BLACK</b>	<b>PERCENT WHITE</b>
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	63%	38%
AIKEN	89%	11%	56%	44%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%
ANDERSON	87%	13%	47%	53%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	100%	0%
BARNWELL	88%	13%	50%	50%
BEAUFORT	100%	0%	72%	28%
BERKELEY	91%	9%	58%	42%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	100%	0%
CHARLESTON	95%	5%	80%	20%
CHEROKEE	85%	15%	41%	59%
CHESTER	100%	0%	75%	25%
CHESTERFIELD	94%	6%	72%	28%
CLARENDON	94%	6%	88%	13%
COLLETON	90%	10%	80%	20%
DARLINGTON	89%	11%	70%	30%
DILLON	100%	0%	57%	43%
DORCHESTER	90%	10%	55%	45%
EDGEFIELD	80%	20%	60%	40%
FAIRFIELD	89%	11%	89%	11%
FLORENCE	91%	9%	85%	15%
GEORGETOWN	100%	0%	84%	16%
GREENVILLE	82%	18%	59%	41%
GREENWOOD	100%	0%	76%	24%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	50%	50%
HORRY	93%	7%	49%	51%
JASPER	75%	25%	63%	38%
KERSHAW	90%	10%	70%	30%
LANCASTER	95%	5%	55%	45%
LAURENS	94%	6%	71%	29%
LEE	100%	0%	100%	0%
LEXINGTON	83%	17%	47%	53%
MCCORMICK	90%	10%	80%	20%
MARION	84%	16%	84%	16%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	93%	7%
NEWBERRY	73%	27%	82%	18%
OCONEE	93%	7%	26%	74%
ORANGEBURG	92%	8%	95%	5%
PICKENS	89%	11%	43%	57%
RICHLAND	81%	19%	87%	13%
SALUDA	73%	27%	91%	9%
SPARTANBURG	84%	16%	61%	39%
SUMTER	87%	13%	82%	18%
UNION	100%	0%	50%	50%
WILLIAMSBURG	91%	9%	91%	9%
YORK	89%	11%	67%	33%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	89%	11%	68%	32%
18 cases missing gender				

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL  
OF SUPERVISION, JUNE 30, 2003  
TABLE 3-C**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>LOW</b>	<b>HIGH</b>	<b>INTENSIVE</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
ABBEVILLE	42%	55%	3%	31
AIKEN	57%	35%	8%	106
ALLENDALE	71%	14%	14%	7
ANDERSON	51%	35%	14%	152
BAMBERG	29%	67%	5%	21
BARNWELL	58%	26%	16%	19
BEAUFORT	61%	33%	6%	51
BERKELEY	51%	43%	6%	82
CALHOUN	43%	14%	43%	7
CHARLESTON	56%	34%	10%	223
CHEROKEE	27%	49%	24%	41
CHESTER	58%	29%	13%	24
CHESTERFIELD	47%	28%	25%	32
CLARENDON	63%	34%	3%	35
COLLETON	72%	24%	4%	25
DARLINGTON	43%	47%	10%	58
DILLON	59%	30%	11%	37
DORCHESTER	47%	29%	24%	55
EDGEFIELD	27%	64%	9%	11
FAIRFIELD	35%	55%	10%	20
FLORENCE	37%	47%	15%	137
GEORGETOWN	62%	30%	9%	47
GREENVILLE	48%	42%	10%	376
GREENWOOD	33%	53%	14%	70
HAMPTON	59%	41%	0%	17
HORRY	55%	38%	7%	138
JASPER	64%	29%	7%	28
KERSHAW	38%	41%	21%	34
LANCASTER	33%	43%	25%	40
LAURENS	54%	35%	10%	79
LEE	75%	11%	14%	28
LEXINGTON	53%	39%	8%	140
MCCORMICK	58%	33%	8%	12
MARION	53%	40%	8%	40
MARLBORO	42%	42%	17%	24
NEWBERRY	51%	40%	9%	47
OCONEE	61%	39%	0%	59
ORANGEBURG	63%	30%	7%	123
PICKENS	52%	38%	10%	81
RICHLAND	62%	28%	10%	334
SALUDA	32%	59%	9%	22
SPARTANBURG	50%	41%	10%	207
SUMTER	58%	28%	13%	120
UNION	38%	43%	20%	40
WILLIAMSBURG	41%	52%	7%	44
YORK	53%	34%	13%	159
RESTITUTION CENTERS	0%	0%	100%	4
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>11%</b>	
<b>ACTIVE PAROLEES</b>	<b>1,812</b>	<b>1,299</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>3,487</b>

# ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION

FIGURE 4



**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PAROLE CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 4-C**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL RATE</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL RATE</b>
ABBEVILLE	14	82%	3	18%
AIKEN	33	80%	8	20%
ALLENDALE	3	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	66	70%	28	30%
BAMBERG	3	43%	4	57%
BARNWELL	12	92%	1	8%
BEAUFORT	21	78%	6	22%
BERKELEY	24	77%	7	23%
CALHOUN	3	60%	2	40%
CHARLESTON	87	71%	35	29%
CHEROKEE	27	87%	4	13%
CHESTER	12	75%	4	25%
CHESTERFIELD	15	88%	2	12%
CLARENDON	9	60%	6	40%
COLLETON	15	88%	2	12%
DARLINGTON	31	67%	15	33%
DILLON	9	69%	4	31%
DORCHESTER	9	56%	7	44%
EDGEFIELD	5	100%	0	0%
FAIRFIELD	10	71%	4	29%
FLORENCE	57	65%	31	35%
GEORGETOWN	19	83%	4	17%
GREENVILLE	151	78%	43	22%
GREENWOOD	19	68%	9	32%
HAMPTON	7	88%	1	13%
HORRY	69	76%	22	24%
JASPER	7	78%	2	22%
KERSHAW	11	79%	3	21%
LANCASTER	15	58%	11	42%
LAURENS	23	85%	4	15%
LEE	11	69%	5	31%
LEXINGTON	49	86%	8	14%
MCCORMICK	2	50%	2	50%
MARION	19	73%	7	27%
MARLBORO	9	69%	4	31%
NEWBERRY	16	84%	3	16%
OCONEE	18	82%	4	18%
ORANGEBURG	36	72%	14	28%
PICKENS	25	76%	8	24%
RICHLAND	106	72%	41	28%
SALUDA	3	50%	3	50%
SPARTANBURG	85	78%	24	22%
SUMTER	58	83%	12	17%
UNION	13	76%	4	24%
WILLIAMSBURG	12	80%	3	20%
YORK	64	76%	20	24%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,312</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>25%</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY AGE\*  
TABLE 5-C**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	4	25%	12	75%
AIKEN	7	13%	47	87%
ALLENDALE	0	0%	2	100%
ANDERSON	4	5%	71	95%
BAMBERG	0	0%	3	100%
BARNWELL	2	25%	6	75%
BEAUFORT	3	12%	22	88%
BERKELEY	6	13%	39	87%
CALHOUN	0	0%	4	100%
CHARLESTON	14	12%	101	88%
CHEROKEE	6	18%	27	82%
CHESTER	3	25%	9	75%
CHESTERFIELD	2	11%	16	89%
CLARENDON	8	50%	8	50%
COLLETON	1	10%	9	90%
DARLINGTON	4	15%	23	85%
DILLON	3	21%	11	79%
DORCHESTER	3	10%	26	90%
EDGEFIELD	1	25%	3	75%
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	9	100%
FLORENCE	22	27%	60	73%
GEORGETOWN	1	4%	24	96%
GREENVILLE	17	10%	160	90%
GREENWOOD	11	26%	31	74%
HAMPTON	0	0%	8	100%
HORRY	8	11%	64	89%
JASPER	3	38%	5	63%
KERSHAW	1	10%	9	90%
LANCASTER	3	15%	17	85%
LAURENS	6	17%	29	83%
LEE	0	0%	6	100%
LEXINGTON	13	24%	42	76%
MCCORMICK	1	10%	9	90%
MARION	6	32%	13	68%
MARLBORO	4	29%	10	71%
NEWBERRY	2	9%	20	91%
OCONEE	3	11%	24	89%
ORANGEBURG	2	5%	37	95%
PICKENS	5	14%	32	86%
RICHLAND	12	8%	141	92%
SALUDA	4	36%	7	64%
SPARTANBURG	13	13%	89	87%
SUMTER	9	15%	53	85%
UNION	2	13%	14	88%
WILLIAMSBURG	5	23%	17	77%
YORK	12	13%	84	88%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	0	0%	0	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>1,453</b>	<b>86%</b>

\*20 offenders missing DOB.

*Section D*  
*Youthful Offender*



## Youthful Offender Conditional Release

---

**YOUTHFUL OFFENDER CONDITIONAL RELEASE:** Inmates ages 17 through 24, sentenced under the state's Youthful Offender Act (YOA) to an indeterminate period of incarceration (not to exceed six years) within the S.C. Department of Corrections (SCDC), maybe conditionally released prior to that time, based on offense, category, adjustment and evaluation while incarcerated. While under SCDPPPS supervision, these offenders remain under the jurisdiction of SCDC.

Tables 1-D and 2-D presents data on all YOA case admissions for FY 02-03.

Table 1-D displays YOA admissions by type of offense. YOA violent admissions at 2% are the same as those admitted to probation at 2%.

Table 2-D illustrates YOA admissions by gender and race. YOA admissions were predominately male (97%) and black (70%).

Table 3-D and Figure 5 describe the active population for YOA conditional release in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2003. Fifty three percent (53%) are supervised at a high level. Intensive level supervision accounted for 26%, while 21% are supervised at a low level.

Table 4-D shows YOA offenders are more inclined to close unsuccessfully (60%) than parolees (32%).

Table 5-D describes YOA admissions by age category.

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
YOA ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE  
TABLE 1-D**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	5	100%	5
AIKEN	2	7%	26	93%	28
ALLENDALE	0	0%	7	100%	7
ANDERSON	2	4%	43	96%	45
BAMBERG	0	0%	8	100%	8
BARNWELL	0	0%	14	100%	14
BEAUFORT	1	3%	31	97%	32
BERKELEY	0	0%	51	100%	51
CALHOUN	0	0%	4	100%	4
CHARLESTON	0	0%	164	100%	164
CHEROKEE	0	0%	27	100%	27
CHESTER	0	0%	9	100%	9
CHESTERFIELD	0	0%	19	100%	19
CLARENDON	1	8%	12	92%	13
COLLETON	0	0%	18	100%	18
DARLINGTON	1	3%	35	97%	36
DILLON	0	0%	18	100%	18
DORCHESTER	1	2%	42	98%	43
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	7	100%	7
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	7	100%	7
FLORENCE	0	0%	58	100%	58
GEORGETOWN	2	8%	22	92%	24
GREENVILLE	3	3%	112	97%	115
GREENWOOD	0	0%	41	100%	41
HAMPTON	0	0%	7	100%	7
HORRY	1	3%	37	97%	38
JASPER	0	0%	16	100%	16
KERSHAW	1	4%	23	96%	24
LANCASTER	1	5%	20	95%	21
LAURENS	0	0%	33	100%	33
LEE	0	0%	7	100%	7
LEXINGTON	1	4%	22	96%	23
MCCORMICK	0	0%	0	0%	0
MARION	0	0%	31	100%	31
MARLBORO	1	6%	17	94%	18
NEWBERRY	0	0%	33	100%	33
OCONEE	1	4%	22	96%	23
ORANGEBURG	3	5%	60	95%	63
PICKENS	1	6%	17	94%	18
RICHLAND	4	5%	69	95%	73
SALUDA	0	0%	10	100%	10
SPARTANBURG	4	5%	80	95%	84
SUMTER	3	9%	30	91%	33
UNION	0	0%	13	100%	13
WILLIAMSBURG	0	0%	8	100%	8
YORK	1	1%	73	99%	74
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	0	0%	0	0%	0
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1,408</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>1,443</b>

16 Cases Missing Classification  
SCDPPPS FY 02-03

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
YOA ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 2-D  
N=1,436**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PERCENT MALE</b>	<b>PERCENT FEMALE</b>	<b>PERCENT BLACK</b>	<b>PERCENT WHITE</b>
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	60%	40%
AIKEN	100%	0%	63%	37%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%
ANDERSON	98%	2%	60%	40%
BAMBERG	88%	13%	100%	0%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	92%	8%
BEAUFORT	97%	3%	81%	19%
BERKELEY	96%	4%	53%	47%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	75%	25%
CHARLESTON	99%	1%	89%	11%
CHEROKEE	100%	0%	22%	78%
CHESTER	100%	0%	67%	33%
CHESTERFIELD	95%	5%	74%	26%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	85%	15%
COLLETON	100%	0%	83%	17%
DARLINGTON	97%	3%	61%	39%
DILLON	94%	6%	72%	28%
DORCHESTER	95%	5%	79%	21%
EDGEFIELD	100%	0%	57%	43%
FAIRFIELD	86%	14%	100%	0%
FLORENCE	98%	2%	84%	16%
GEORGETOWN	100%	0%	71%	29%
GREENVILLE	96%	4%	57%	43%
GREENWOOD	98%	2%	83%	17%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	100%	0%
HORRY	97%	3%	43%	57%
JASPER	100%	0%	88%	13%
KERSHAW	91%	9%	70%	30%
LANCASTER	95%	5%	43%	57%
LAURENS	91%	9%	55%	45%
LEE	100%	0%	100%	0%
LEXINGTON	100%	0%	48%	52%
MCCORMICK	0%	0%	0%	0%
MARION	97%	3%	87%	13%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	67%	33%
NEWBERRY	91%	9%	67%	33%
OCONEE	100%	0%	26%	74%
ORANGEBURG	98%	2%	90%	10%
PICKENS	100%	0%	17%	83%
RICHLAND	99%	1%	88%	12%
SALUDA	100%	0%	80%	20%
SPARTANBURG	96%	4%	69%	31%
SUMTER	94%	6%	81%	19%
UNION	100%	0%	62%	38%
WILLIAMSBURG	100%	0%	100%	0%
YORK	96%	4%	58%	42%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>30%</b>


23 Cases missing gender

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
ACTIVE YOA OFFENDERS BY LEVEL  
OF SUPERVISION, JUNE 30, 2003  
TABLE 3-D**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>LOW</b>	<b>HIGH</b>	<b>INTENSIVE</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
ABBEVILLE	43%	57%	0%	7
AIKEN	27%	56%	17%	64
ALLENDALE	0%	55%	45%	11
ANDERSON	25%	56%	20%	61
BAMBERG	18%	64%	18%	11
BARNWELL	11%	67%	22%	9
BEAUFORT	34%	51%	14%	35
BERKELEY	38%	45%	18%	85
CALHOUN	14%	57%	29%	7
CHARLESTON	20%	59%	21%	248
CHEROKEE	18%	50%	32%	50
CHESTER	25%	25%	50%	8
CHESTERFIELD	27%	27%	47%	15
CLARENDON	27%	40%	33%	15
COLLETON	32%	44%	24%	25
DARLINGTON	8%	67%	26%	39
DILLON	9%	78%	13%	23
DORCHESTER	33%	46%	21%	72
EDGEFIELD	20%	70%	10%	10
FAIRFIELD	13%	69%	19%	16
FLORENCE	1%	72%	27%	92
GEORGETOWN	62%	14%	24%	21
GREENVILLE	20%	51%	29%	176
GREENWOOD	18%	68%	14%	66
HAMPTON	25%	63%	13%	8
HORRY	18%	43%	39%	49
JASPER	50%	41%	9%	22
KERSHAW	21%	52%	28%	29
LANCASTER	0%	58%	42%	24
LAURENS	34%	42%	24%	50
LEE	13%	25%	63%	8
LEXINGTON	10%	53%	37%	30
MCCORMICK	0%	0%	0%	0
MARION	8%	36%	56%	25
MARLBORO	13%	50%	38%	24
NEWBERRY	35%	32%	32%	37
OCONEE	30%	67%	4%	27
ORANGEBURG	29%	49%	22%	103
PICKENS	29%	60%	11%	35
RICHLAND	8%	66%	26%	127
SALUDA	13%	63%	25%	24
SPARTANBURG	10%	38%	52%	106
SUMTER	25%	63%	12%	57
UNION	17%	52%	30%	23
WILLIAMSBURG	16%	63%	21%	19
YORK	32%	38%	31%	95
RESTITUTION CENTERS	0%	33%	67%	3
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>26%</b>	
<b>ACTIVE YOA OFFENDERS</b>	<b>443</b>	<b>1,107</b>	<b>541</b>	<b>2,091</b>

# ACTIVE YOA OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION

FIGURE 5



**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
YOA CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 4-D**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	2	33%	4	67%
AIKEN	13	52%	12	48%
ALLENDALE	0	0%	0	0%
ANDERSON	18	34%	35	66%
BAMBERG	6	86%	1	14%
BARNWELL	3	27%	8	73%
BEAUFORT	13	52%	12	48%
BERKELEY	18	33%	36	67%
CALHOUN	1	50%	1	50%
CHARLESTON	57	36%	101	64%
CHEROKEE	7	32%	15	68%
CHESTER	3	25%	9	75%
CHESTERFIELD	10	45%	12	55%
CLARENDON	5	45%	6	55%
COLLETON	8	57%	6	43%
DARLINGTON	15	39%	23	61%
DILLON	17	63%	10	37%
DORCHESTER	11	30%	26	70%
EDGEFIELD	5	56%	4	44%
FAIRFIELD	5	42%	7	58%
FLORENCE	19	41%	27	59%
GEORGETOWN	7	47%	8	53%
GREENVILLE	41	42%	57	58%
GREENWOOD	13	41%	19	59%
HAMPTON	2	33%	4	67%
HORRY	25	49%	26	51%
JASPER	4	44%	5	56%
KERSHAW	2	10%	18	90%
LANCASTER	5	23%	17	77%
LAURENS	28	62%	17	38%
LEE	3	60%	2	40%
LEXINGTON	11	41%	16	59%
MCCORMICK	4	100%	0	0%
MARION	6	27%	16	73%
MARLBORO	7	39%	11	61%
NEWBERRY	14	54%	12	46%
OCONEE	9	56%	7	44%
ORANGEBURG	19	42%	26	58%
PICKENS	11	50%	11	50%
RICHLAND	25	33%	51	67%
SALUDA	3	43%	4	57%
SPARTANBURG	22	26%	64	74%
SUMTER	16	62%	10	38%
UNION	2	18%	9	82%
WILLIAMSBURG	5	45%	6	55%
YORK	34	44%	44	56%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>554</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>815</b>	<b>60%</b>

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
YOA ADMISSIONS BY AGE\*  
TABLE 5-D**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	5	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	25	93%	2	7%
ALLENDALE	7	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	37	82%	8	18%
BAMBERG	7	88%	1	13%
BARNWELL	13	100%	0	0%
BEAUFORT	26	81%	6	19%
BERKELEY	41	80%	10	20%
CALHOUN	2	50%	2	50%
CHARLESTON	146	89%	18	11%
CHEROKEE	22	85%	4	15%
CHESTER	8	89%	1	11%
CHESTERFIELD	18	95%	1	5%
CLARENDON	12	92%	1	8%
COLLETON	16	89%	2	11%
DARLINGTON	30	83%	6	17%
DILLON	16	89%	2	11%
DORCHESTER	40	93%	3	7%
EDGEFIELD	7	100%	0	0%
FAIRFIELD	5	71%	2	29%
FLORENCE	53	91%	5	9%
GEORGETOWN	23	96%	1	4%
GREENVILLE	95	83%	20	17%
GREENWOOD	38	93%	3	7%
HAMPTON	7	100%	0	0%
HORRY	32	86%	5	14%
JASPER	15	94%	1	6%
KERSHAW	21	91%	2	9%
LANCASTER	21	100%	0	0%
LAURENS	33	100%	0	0%
LEE	7	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	20	87%	3	13%
MCCORMICK	0	0%	0	0%
MARION	29	94%	2	6%
MARLBORO	15	83%	3	17%
NEWBERRY	32	100%	0	0%
OCONEE	22	96%	1	4%
ORANGEBURG	55	90%	6	10%
PICKENS	14	78%	4	22%
RICHLAND	68	93%	5	7%
SALUDA	10	100%	0	0%
SPARTANBURG	65	78%	18	22%
SUMTER	27	87%	4	13%
UNION	12	92%	1	8%
WILLIAMSBURG	6	75%	2	25%
YORK	62	84%	12	16%
TRANSITIONAL ADM.	0	0%	0	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,265</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>12%</b>

\*26 offender missing DOB.  
SCDPPPS FY 02-03

# *Section E*

## *Violations*



## Violations

---

**VIOLATIONS:** Offenders charged by their supervising agents with violations of the conditions of supervision are reviewed through an administrative hearing process to determine if probable cause of a violation exists. If a violation is found, a determination is made as to which community sanctions should be imposed, or whether the case should be referred to the Board or the Court for revocation action.

Table 1-E provides data by county on the violation process. Statewide, a total of 4,867 violation hearings were held. At those hearings, 1,882 cases were continued or recommended for continuation, while 2,985 cases were revoked or recommended for revocation.

Table 2-E provides a comparison of changes in active population and the type of closure for FY 98 to FY 03.

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
VIOLATIONS BY COUNTY  
TABLE 1-E**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CASES HEARD</b>	<b>CASES CONTINUED OR RECOMMENDED FOR CONTINUATION</b>	<b>CASES REVOKED OR RECOMMENDED FOR REVOCATION</b>
ABBEVILLE	4	1	3
AIKEN	154	52	102
ALLENDALE	26	6	20
ANDERSON	248	120	128
BAMBERG	23	9	14
BARNWELL	22	7	15
BEAUFORT	78	29	49
BERKELEY	174	55	119
CALHOUN	40	12	28
CHARLESTON	741	241	500
CHEROKEE	75	33	42
CHESTER	36	13	23
CHESTERFIELD	37	20	17
CLARENDON	57	20	37
COLLETON	26	10	16
DARLINGTON	65	24	41
DILLON	39	22	17
DORCHESTER	147	38	109
EDGEFIELD	13	10	3
FAIRFIELD	24	7	17
FLORENCE	141	69	72
GEORGETOWN	52	24	28
GREENVILLE	470	201	269
GREENWOOD	115	47	68
HAMPTON	28	9	19
HORRY	64	22	42
JASPER	29	10	19
KERSHAW	77	23	54
LANCASTER	135	37	98
LAURENS	34	14	20
LEE	36	11	25
LEXINGTON	221	125	96
MCCORMICK	53	28	25
MARION	25	6	19
MARLBORO	22	8	14
NEWBERRY	67	22	45
OCONEE	56	27	29
ORANGEBURG	165	55	110
PICKENS	53	23	30
RICHLAND	222	55	167
SALUDA	34	12	22
SPARTANBURG	360	144	216
SUMTER	131	73	58
UNION	38	11	27
WILLIAMSBURG	55	38	17
YORK	155	59	96
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>4,867</b>	<b>1,882</b>	<b>2,985</b>

**SC DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
CLOSING BY TYPE  
TABLE 2-E**

**FY 02-03**

	<b>Active Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Rev-TC</b>	<b>Total Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	27,627	11,320	18	34	553	4,650	82	5,337
Parole	3,487	984	13	0	50	322	9	394
YOA	2,091	554	5	0	106	642	62	815
Early Releases	*	328	4	0	0	36	0	40
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,205</b>	<b>13,186</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>709</b>	<b>5,650</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>6,586</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.6%	0.5%	10.8%	85.8%	2.3%	
*Included in Parole								

**FY 01-02**

	<b>Active Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Rev-TC</b>	<b>Total Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	28,199	11,399	8	54	466	4,211	92	4,831
Parole	3,726	1,000	6	0	38	345	17	406
YOA	2,179	600	5	0	95	611	49	760
Early Releases	*	391	1	0	1	31	0	33
<b>Total</b>	<b>34,104</b>	<b>13,390</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>5,198</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>6,030</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.3%	0.9%	10.0%	86.2%	2.6%	
*Included in Parole								

**FY 00-01**

	<b>Active Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Rev-TC</b>	<b>Total Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	28,679	12,491	9	46	458	4,143	117	4,773
Parole	3,790	1,074	6	0	35	314	16	371
YOA	2,326	548	1	0	112	632	49	794
Early Releases	*	358	2	0	0	49	0	51
<b>Total</b>	<b>34,795</b>	<b>14,471</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>5,138</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>5,989</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.3%	0.8%	10.1%	85.8%	3.0%	
*Included in Parole								

**FY 99-00**

	<b>Active Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Rev-TC</b>	<b>Total Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	29,978	12,267	2	39	579	4,496	142	5,258
Parole	3,770	849	6	0	34	355	18	413
YOA	2,453	553	1	0	116	522	67	706
SF-2A	*	454	2	0	0	53	1	56
<b>Total</b>	<b>36,201</b>	<b>14,123</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>5,426</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>6,433</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.2%	0.6%	11.3%	84.3%	3.5%	
*Included in Parole								

**FY 98-99**

	<b>Active Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Rev-TC</b>	<b>Total Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	25,429	11,901	6	18	621	3,979	112	4,736
Parole	3,143	883	3	0	40	369	15	427
YOA	2,433	598	8	0	131	540	43	722
Early Releases	181	471	5	0	0	88	4	97
<b>Total</b>	<b>31,186</b>	<b>13,853</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>792</b>	<b>4,976</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>5,982</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.4%	0.3%	13.2%	83.2%	2.9%	

**FY 97-98**

	<b>Active Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Rev-TC</b>	<b>Total Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	30,164	11,778	6	34	728	3,913	190	4,871
Parole	3,679	895	7	0	88	405	28	528
YOA	2,500	766	3	0	131	582	46	762
EPA-1, SF-1 & 2	*	6	0	0	0	3	0	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>36,343</b>	<b>13,445</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>4,903</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>6,164</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.3%	0.6%	15.4%	79.5%	4.3%	
*Included in Parole								

# *Summary*



## Summary

---

Chart 1 summarizes the population characteristics of SCDPPPS offenders by supervision programs, Probation, Parole and YOA as well as offender involvement in drug testing.

The proportion of violent offenses among probationers and YOA admissions during FY00-03 was small, 2%, 4%, and 2% respectively, with the percentage of violent offenses for parole admissions 26%, higher than for any other offender category.

Overall, the most utilized level of supervision was high (53%), followed by low (42%), and intensive (5%). Changes were made in the offender classification process.

The overall success rate for closures was 67% during the fiscal year. The overall success rate for parolees was 75%. Both probationers, (68%) and YOA offenders, (40%), had less successful closures rates than parolees.

Of the 18,127 offenders that were tested for drug use while under our supervision, 6,161, or 34%, tested positive for drugs. The decrease in testing was due to budget reductions during FY02-03.

Chart 2 compares the number of admissions for each fiscal year from 1987 to 2003. Admissions to supervision are the highest since FY 99. Admissions increased for FY02-03 by 14.1% from the previous fiscal year.

Chart 3 displays violent admissions by program for fiscal year 1993 to 2003. Due to the small number of early release offenders for FY 02-03 they were included in parole. YOA offenders showed a decrease in violent admissions over the previous fiscal year.

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF  
PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES  
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS  
CHART 1**

**ADMISSIONS**

<u>CATEGORY:</u>	<u>PROBATION</u>		<u>PAROLE</u>		<u>YOA</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	
	FY 02	FY 03	FY 02	FY 03	FY 02	FY 03	FY 02	FY 03
<u>RACE:</u>								
BLACK	57%	57%	70%	68%	72%	70%	59%	59%
WHITE	43%	43%	30%	32%	28%	30%	41%	41%
<u>GENDER:</u>								
MALE	81%	80%	88%	89%	96%	97%	82%	82%
FEMALE	20%	20%	12%	11%	4%	3%	18%	18%
<u>OFFENSE TYPE:</u>								
VIOLENT	2%	2%	26%	26%	4%	2%	4%	4%
NON-VIOLENT	98%	98%	74%	74%	96%	98%	96%	96%

**ACTIVES**

<u>LEVEL OF SUPERVISION:</u>								
LOW RISK	31%	42%	47%	52%	1%	21%	30%	42%
HIGH RISK	66%	55%	42%	37%	74%	53%	64%	53%
INTENSIVE	3%	2%	11%	11%	25%	26%	6%	5%

**CLOSURES**

<u>CASE OUTCOME:</u>								
SUCCESSFUL	70%	68%	76%	75%	44%	40%	69%	67%
UNSUCCESSFUL	30%	32%	24%	25%	56%	60%	31%	33%


**DRUG TESTING**

	<u>FY 02</u>	<u>FY 03</u>
INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	22,884	18,127
INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	7,743	6,161
% OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	33.84%	33.99%
TOTAL POSITIVE TESTS	12,783	9,804
NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS TESTED	39,006	28,488

# ADMISSIONS COMPARING 1987 - 2003


## CHART 2

Subtitle



# VIOLENT ADMISSIONS BY PROGRAM AND FISCAL YEAR

## Chart 3



Early Release included in Parole for FY 96-98, FY99-00 & FY00 thru 03

**S.C. DEPARTMENT OF PROBATION, PAROLE AND PARDON SERVICES**

***Stephen G. Birnie, Chief of Staff***

**Office of Research and Evaluation**

***Tony Dukes***